				Q1: What do you expect to be your organisations' level of involvement during the development of the Incremental Proposal?				Q2: In your opinion, does the draft project plan for the development of the Incremental Proposal contained in this document provide sufficient basis for quality stakeholder involvement given the timelines within which this project must be delivered?			Q3: What do you think of the proposed timeline, including the frequency and number of public meetings? Are any changes needed?			Q4: What do you think of the proposed topics and scheduling for each SJWS? What other topics might be included?			Q5: Do you think it would be a good idea for there to be live streaming of the SJWSs?			Q6: Do you have any additional comments or suggestions or comments to the draft Project Plan for the Incremental Proposal?
No	First and Last name:	Company Name:	Will you be representing an association (if so, please indicate):	(a) Prime mover	(b) Active SJWS participant	(c) Consultation Respondent	(d) Observer	Yes, the project plan contains sufficient information	No, the project plan doesn't contain sufficient information	If the response is no, please propose some improvements for consideration.	Yes, I agree with the frequency and number of public meetings	No, I don't agree with the frequency and number of public meetings	Additional comments for consideration.	Yes, I agree with the proposed topics and scheduling for each SJWS	No, I don't agree with the proposed topics and scheduling for each SJWS	If the response is no, please propose some improvements for consideration.	Yes, I agree with live streaming the SJWSs	No, I don't think it is necessary to have live streaming of the SJWS	Do you have any other suggestions that might enhance this participation?	
1	Sébastien Doligé	Eurelectric				x		x		Yes we believe the project plan provides a reasonably good basis for a quality stakeholder involvement.	x		Generally speaking, we are quite satisfied with ENTSOG transparency, inclusiveness and organisation of the network code process. This said we would like to underline the importance of giving to stakeholders enough time to respond to written consultations; this is really necessary for associations with a large membership. Workshops and meetings are as important as written answers.	x		We believe the list of proposed topics is fine. We would like to ask ENTSOG to publish well in advance on its website the preparatory documents that need to be read before the workshops take place.	x		The use of webinars would be welcomed.	no
2	Maria Popova	European Federation of Energy Traders (EFET)			x			x			x		In our view, the proposed timeline meet	x		The objective of the Project Plan for the Incremental Proposal is well-defined, and the list of topics to be developed is comprehensive.	x			We welcome the Project Plan for the Incremental Capacity Proposal prepared by ENTSOG, as it builds on the experience and good practice developed in the drafting of the CAM Network Code. We are also pleased to see that the drafting process foresees active cooperation with stakeholders, as this is essential for achieving a technically feasible and well-balanced outcome. Last but not least, we would like to stress the need for close coordination with the development of the Network Code on Harmonised Tariff Structures throughout the development of the Incremental Capacity Proposal.
3	Ivelina Boneva	GasTerra B.V.				x		x			x		GasTerra agrees with the ambition of th	x		GasTerra would like to see the issues related to tariffs (the economic test, tariff issues related to incremental capacity and relevant information provisions) will not only be addressed in the NC CAM adaptation process. We think it is important to also discuss these issues during the SJWSs on TAR in order to ensure consistency.	x		Yes, GasTerra thinks it is a good idea to have live streaming of the SJWSs as this will further support stakeholder engagement. However, ENTSOG might consider requiring parties to register for the streaming sessions as well as for the workshops. This will allow ENTSOG to evaluate the relevance of participating stakeholders.	
4	Amroze ADJUWARD	EDF				x		x		EDF welcomes ENTSOG's approach that aims at focusing on stakeholders' involvement and inputs. In that respect, EDF believes that the draft project plan for the development of Incremental Proposal provides sufficient information for stakeholders to have a clear view on this year's work.	x		EDF believes that the proposed timelin	x		EDF considers that the main topics are dealt with in ENTSOG's incremental proposal. However, EDF believes that special focus should be done on the "f factor" (f and 1-f) and the determination of its parameters, since its major importance in the economic test. Furthermore, EDF would like a clear discussion and assessment about the different options for auctions and open seasons that have been proposed in CEER's Blueprint for Incremental Capacity. EDF believes that an objective analysis of pros and cons should be carried out in order to define the best-suited solution. We also believe that numerical examples should be provided by ENTSOG as they are of great value to help stakeholders to understand the issues at stake.	x		EDF considers that the main topics are dealt with in ENTSOG's incremental proposal. However, EDF believes that special focus should be done on the "f factor" (f and 1-f) and the determination of its parameters, since its major importance in the economic test. Furthermore, EDF would like a clear discussion and assessment about the different options for auctions and open seasons that have been proposed in CEER's Blueprint for Incremental Capacity. EDF believes that an objective analysis of pros and cons should be carried out in order to define the best-suited solution. We also believe that numerical examples should be provided by ENTSOG as they are of great value to help stakeholders to understand the issues at stake.	EDF welcomes ENTSOG's approach that aims at focusing on stakeholders' involvement and inputs. In that respect, EDF believes that the draft project plan for the development of Incremental Proposal provides sufficient information for stakeholders to have a clear view on this year's work.
5	Simone Rossi	eni			x			x		We appreciate ENTSOG's efforts to develop a project plan that allows stakeholders to be fully involved in the process of elaboration of the incremental proposal	x		We do not suggest any change to the proposed timeline	x		The proposed topics and scheduling for each SJWS seem to be appropriate. During the process it is important to provide concrete simulations and examples on how the incremental capacity mechanisms will work	x		We support the use of webstreaming of the SJWSs as this would allow a broader stakeholder participation	We appreciate ENTSOG's efforts to develop a project plan that allows stakeholders to be fully involved in the process of elaboration of the incremental proposal
6	Francisco Goncalves	Gazprom Marketing & Trading				x		x			x		good	x		good	x		free access to all no registration requried	
7	Alex Barnes	Gazprom Marketing & Trading		x				x			x		fine	x		they are good.	x		yes, free access to all at any time without registration.	

8	Helen Stack	Centrica Plc			x			x			x		We agree with the comments of EFET and Eurogas that this is a tight and demanding schedule, but that it could be feasible.	x		We support the proposed topics.	x		Yes the high standard of live streaming should be maintained. The method for providing comments or questions to the Chair for online views could be improved. Or online participants could be given the opportunity to submit questions before the meeting starts.	
9	MARGOT LOUDON	EUROGAS			x			x			x		It is a very demanding programme and it will not be possible to attend all the SJWS meetings, but Eurogas recognises the importance of maintaining impetus and working pressures and is not seeking for change. It seems that there is more interest in discussing the complexities of the Tarification Code, so eventually the April meeting could be used for that purpose.	x		Eurogas is happy with the topics as proposed.	x		There are arguments for and against. It will offer a low-cost means of participation, free of travel hassle, convenient for company representatives. On the other hand, you may increasingly find that the SJWS are dominated by Brussels-based representatives, and face to face discussions with a wider range of stakeholders is reduced.	
10	Andrew Pearce	BP Gas Marketing			x			x			x		The timeline is tight but should be achievable. The number of meetings seem appropriate.	x		All issues appear to be covered by the project plan.	x			
11	Stephen Rose	RWE Supply & Trading GmbH					x															
12	Elisa Rondella	Edison SpA			x			x		Yes, we agree with the planning of the various SJWSs: table at page 9 seems to allow stakeholders to touch all the issues at stake in the NC at least twice during the process and not in consecutive sessions. That would allow stakeholders enough time to study and assess ENTSOG's proposals and to provide more detailed views.	x		The timeline is very tight, but previous experience with other NCs showed that it is feasible. Furthermore, concentrating in the first half of the year the most demanding part of the process (in terms of travelling and deadlines for feedback), will allow ENTSOG to manage unplanned complications that could possibly arise.	x		We agree with the proposed topics and scheduling. During the SJWSs (similarly to what happened for the NC CAM) we recommend to present practical and numerical simulations, at least on the following issues: Auction design, Open Season procedures and application of the Economic Test. Also, having some considerations of the Impact Assessment presented and discussed already during the SJWSs phase would prove very useful for stakeholders. Finally, it is important that, as it was in the past,- during the SJWSs - an open and fair discussion between all the stakeholders is guaranteed, in order to maximize the benefits coming from the meeting.	x		We support the provision of a live streaming service, that will reduce travel costs that sometimes represent a relevant barrier for interested stakeholders to participate. It is important that, as for the past SJWSs, the streaming allows a real-time interaction of viewers, so that they can intervene to express views and pose questions.	Yes, we agree with the planning of the various SJWSs: table at page 9 seems to allow stakeholders to touch all the issues at stake in the NC at least twice during the process and not in consecutive sessions. That would allow stakeholders enough time to study and assess ENTSOG's proposals and to provide more detailed views.
13	Jean-Louis MARTINAUD	GDF SUEZ			x			x			x		Regarding timeline and frequency of meetings GDF SUEZ considers no change is needed	x		No other topics should be included	x			
14	Cristiano Francese	Trans-Adriatic Pipeline			x			x			x		The proposed timeline, including the frequency and number of public meetings, seems suitable in order for ENTSOG to deliver the network code on incremental/new capacity in line with the deadlines set by the relative ACER framework guidelines.	x		The topics proposed by ENTSOG cover all the necessary elements identified by the ACER framework guidelines for the definition of the CAM code on incremental capacity.	x		Live streaming should be sufficient to ensure the largest participation possible to the meeting	Ad-hoc simulations (case studies) on how the mechanisms to offer incremental capacity (auctions or open seasons across multiple interconnection points) are expected to be implemented would be beneficial. These simulations should be aimed at testing the mechanisms to offer incremental capacity discussed in the course of the SJWS in order to identify further potential issues not identified during the SJWS.
15	Davide Rubini	Statoil, representing OGP		x				x			x		Yes, I agree with the proposed timeline, including the frequency and number of public meetings	x		Yes, I agree with the proposed topics of the incremental proposal	x			
16	Kees Bouwens	ExxonMobil, representing OGP		x				x			x		Yes, I agree with the proposed timeline, including the frequency and number of public meetings	x		Yes, I agree with the proposed topics of the incremental proposal	x			
17	Eric Gilhaus	AGGM Austrian Gas Grid Management AG				x		x			x		No changes needed.	x		Sufficient topics covered.	x		No	
19	Sylvie Denoble-Mayer	GDF SUEZ Infrastructures			x			x			x		No changes needed.	x		Sufficient topics covered.	x			
20	Andrea Bonzanni	EDF Trading				x		x			x		The proposed timeline is fine	x		No other topics should be included	x		No	We greatly value publication of documents and presentations prior to the meetings. Sufficient notice gives stakeholders the opportunity to properly evaluate the documentation and widely consult internally in order to provide more thorough and meaningful feedback.
21	Alexander Kronimus	German Chemical Industry Association			x			x			x		It is important that this workstream on incremental capacity runs in parallel with the development of the Network Code on Tariffs in order to ensure full coherence of tariff-related incremental capacity provisions with the main body of the Network Code. If feasible, it would be helpful to push forward the publication of the draft and refined Incremental Proposals to allow for a more thorough evaluation of the interactions between the Proposal, the Network Code on Tariffs and the other Network Codes currently being implemented.	x		We agree with the proposed topics provided that they are looked at in the context of the Gas Target Model and the implementation of the various Network Codes. A topic we would suggest ENTSOG to consider is the interaction between congestion management and the triggering of investment in incremental capacity as it is crucial that additional capacity is built to address real physical congestion issues rather than mere contractual ones.	x			
22	Philipp Palada	Gas Infrastructure Europe		x				x			x		No, timeline and fequency is appropriate.	x			x			
23	Valentin Höhn	IFIEC Europe			x			x			x			x			x			